

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,213	02/28/2002	Shinichi Sato	11301-1481	8571
24504	7590 10/17/2003		EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			SERGENT, RABON A	
100 GALLE. STE 1750	RIA PARKWAY, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948			1711	
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
065	10/085,213	SATO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rabon Sergent	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims 					
4) Claim(s) 46-50 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46-50</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	<u></u>				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oved by the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/a	n)-(d) or (f)			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under de e.e.e. 3 1 re(e	, (d) 51 (l).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	eived.			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)	ما درون المعالمة الم	(OTO 412) Paper Ne/e)			
1) \(\omega\) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\omega\) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) \(\omega\) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \(\omega\)	/ 5) Notice of Informal	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/085,213

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claims 46-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Within line 1 of claims 46 and 48, "The process" lacks antecedence.

2. Claims 46-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Firstly, as stated within MPEP 2173.02, the purpose of examining the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is to insure that the claims meet the threshold requirements of clarity and precision. The examiner has reviewed all pending claims and finds that the claims, as drafted, are unclear and imprecise to the extent that the subject matter of the claims cannot reasonably be determined with the certainty required by the statute. Specifically, the claims refer to an expansive number of variables having such varying, extensive, and ambiguous definitions that the claims are convoluted to the extent that one cannot follow the subject matter of the claims with any degree of certainty or confidence.

Secondly, it is unclear if the language, "having less than two" or "has less than two", encompasses zero. For example, does the language, "having less than two isocyanate groups", encompass compounds lacking any isocyanate groups?

Thirdly, it is unclear if the claimed molecular weights are number average molecular weights.

Art Unit: 1711

Lastly, Markush groups denoted by the language, "at least one selected from the group consisting of", are improper; what is there at least one of?

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (703) 308-2982.

RABON SERGENT RIMARY EXAMINER

R. Sergent

September 28, 2003